

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/487,239	01/20/2000	Norikane Nabata	Q57646	2929	
7590 04/23/2004			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Macpeak & Seas PLLC			VO, HAI		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠ ﴿					/				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{b}$	1			
Office Action Summary		09/487,23	9	NABATA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Hai Vo		1771					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	, 136(a). In no eve oly within the statu I will apply and will te, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from location to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	February 200	04.						
·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from cor							
Applicat	ion Papers	•							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and any objection to the	cepted or b)[							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			and the second second second					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been prity docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
2) Notice	t(s)  be of References Cited (PTO-892)  be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Staternent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite	)-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/487,239

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Objections

- Claims 6, and 8-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 6, line 3, the term "polytetrafluorethylene" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 6 would sound better in accordance with US Patent Practice if it is rewritten as follows: A container holding an absorbent, said container being made of at least two laminates joined to each other wherein each laminate comprises an ultra high molecular weight polyolefin porous film and a polytetrafluoroethylene porous film. It is believed that this language is equivalent to the instant language but reads more clearly.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dauber et al (US 5,538,545) in view of Takiguchi et al (US 5,804,074). Dauber teaches a sorbent filter comprising at least one protective support layer 41, 44; at least one filter layer 42, 43; and an inner sorbent core 48 (figure 4B). Dauber teaches that the protective support layer is made of a porous expanded polyethylene (claim 12). Dauber teaches that the filter layer is formed from a porous expanded PTFE (claim 3). Dauber does not specifically disclose the support layer made of an

Art Unit: 1771

ultra high molecular weight porous polyethylene film. Takiguchi, however, teaches a multilayer porous plastic filter comprising at least two layers formed by combining and unifying a small particle porous layer and a large particle porous layer (abstract). Takiquchi teaches the small particle porous layer made of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) (claim 15, column 4, lines 21-25). Takiguchi teaches the large particle porous layer formed from ultra high molecular weight polyethylene particles linked together forming pores among them (column 4, lines 63-66). Takiguchi also teaches the use of porous layer of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene particles in view of the mechanical strength (column 5, lines 20-23). This is important to the expectation of successfully practicing the invention of Dauber and thus suggesting the modification. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the ultra high molecular weight polyethylene porous film for the expanded polyethylene film of the Dauber support layer motivated by the desire to provide the sorbent filter with higher mechanical strength.

5. The 112 claim rejections have been overcome by the present arguments (see pages 2 and 3 of the amendment filed on 02/02/04).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HV-

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700